

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

-----

BAIL BOND BOARD MEETING

-----

On the 21st day of June, 2012, the following  
proceedings came on to be heard with the Honorable Judge  
Lupe Flores presiding, held in Beaumont, Jefferson  
County, Texas;

Proceedings reported by machine shorthand.

## A P P E A R A N C E S

Judge Lupe Flores  
Judge Jeff Branick  
Judge Tom Maness  
Judge Ken Dollinger  
Tom Roebuck  
C. H. Cribbs  
Kevin Cribbs  
Cathy Presley  
Becky Garcia  
Tim Funchess  
Keith Day  
Mary Godina  
Tina Gillespie  
Rhonda Brode  
Lieutenant Kelly  
Sergeant C. Jackson

1 JUDGE FLORES: I will call this meeting of  
00:00 2 the Jefferson County Bail Bond Board to order .

00:00 3 The first order of business is to review  
00:00 4 the minutes from the last meeting . Anybody have any  
00:00 5 comments, additions , correction s to the minutes ?

6 (No response ).

00:00 7 JUDGE FLORES: Hearing none , we will go to  
00:00 8 the second item on the agenda , the report from the  
00:00 9 District Attorney 's O ffice . Judge Maness?

00:00 10 JUDGE MANESS Yes. We promise to catch  
00:00 11 up.

00:00 12 MR ROEBUCK I was going to make that  
00:00 13 observation , Judge. Total money collected on final  
14 judgments , 125.

00:00 15 JUDGE FLORES: Any other comments or  
00:00 16 discussion on the District Attorney 's report ?

00:00 17 MR DAY: I think it 's one of the best  
00:00 18 reports we've had in a long time , actually .

00:01 19 JUDGE FLORES: Okay. If there is nothing  
00:01 20 further on the DA 's report , let 's go to Ms . Presley .  
00:01 21 Any application s?

00:01 22 MS PRESLEY: Yes, sir .

00:01 23 JUDGE FLORES: Which is the first one we  
00:01 24 need to consider ?

00:01 25 MS PRESLEY: Ronnie LeBlanc . It 's a

00:01 1 renewal for a three - year application , A-1-A Bail Bonds.

00:01 2 JUDGE FLORES: Let the record reflect that  
00:01 3 I think all members of the Bail Bond Board received a  
00:01 4 copy of the application . Are there any questions or  
00:01 5 comments on the application ?

00:01 6 MS GARCIA: I notice there are some  
00:01 7 questions that haven't been answered. I'm going to  
00:01 8 assume that since we've approved his license before in  
00:01 9 the past , these questions have been answered. So ...

00:01 10 JUDGE FLORES: Okay.

00:01 11 MS PRESLEY: She's talking about No. 5.

00:01 12 MS GARCIA: And then No. 7.

00:01 13 MS PRESLEY: He's gained experience . He's  
00:01 14 been in business for 20 , 30 years . He doesn't have a  
00:02 15 license anywhere else .

00:02 16 JUDGE FLORES: Is there anything further on  
00:02 17 Mr. LeBlanc 's application ?

00:02 18 (No response ).

00:02 19 JUDGE FLORES: If there is nothing further  
00:02 20 on Mr. LeBlanc 's application , that will be approved .

00:02 21 Which is the second one, Ms Presley ?

00:02 22 MS PRESLEY: Jamie Yarubrough . And that 's  
00:02 23 a new application as an agent for Stan Stanley , Allied  
00:02 24 Bail Bonds.

00:02 25 JUDGE FLORES: Ms Presley , does everything

00:02 1 appear to be in order on the application ?

00:02 2 MS PRESLEY: Yes, sir .

00:02 3 JUDGE FLORES: Any questions or comments on  
00:02 4 this application ?

00:02 5 (No response ).

00:02 6 JUDGE FLORES: Okay. Hearing none , that  
00:02 7 will be approved .

00:02 8 The next one is - -

00:02 9 MS PRESLEY: An agent application , new  
00:02 10 application , for Tiffany Guillory -Collins , working for  
00:03 11 Stan Stanley , Allied Bail Bonds. Everything is in  
00:03 12 order .

00:03 13 JUDGE FLORES: Any questions or comments or  
00:03 14 discussion on this application ?

15 (No response ).

00:03 16 JUDGE FLORES: Hearing none , that will be  
00:03 17 approved .

00:03 18 Are there any other applications ?

00:03 19 MS PRESLEY: No, sir .

00:03 20 JUDGE FLORES: Ms Godina , any complaints  
00:03 21 against bondsmen?

00:03 22 MS GODINA: No, sir .

00:03 23 JUDGE FLORES: Report from the Treasurer 's  
00:03 24 Office . Everybody gotten Mr. Funchess ' report ?  
00:03 25 Mr. Funchess , any comments you wish to make on the

00:03 1 report ?

00:03 2 MR FUNCHESS: No, sir .

00:03 3 JUDGE FLORES: Any other comments or  
00:03 4 discussion from any other member of the Bail Bond Board?

00:03 5 MS BRODE I do have a question .

00:03 6 JUDGE FLORES: Yes, ma'am.

00:03 7 MS BRODE There are three people that we  
00:03 8 have property collateral with . I'm going to pronounce  
00:03 9 -- it 's Michael Barborek , Brett Wagoner and Robert  
00:04 10 Stone . I know that Michael and Brett are no longer in  
00:04 11 business . But we still have -- if I'm accurate , we  
00:04 12 still have property pledged out there and we're not  
00:04 13 getting tax or insurance information on them. Michael  
00:04 14 is actually refusing to give it. I don't know about  
00:04 15 Brett . And Robert Stone , there is only \$4,000 out  
00:04 16 there . I wasn't sure if he had any --

00:04 17 MS GARCIA: It 's a piece of property in  
00:04 18 Galveston that got damaged by the storm . As far as I  
00:04 19 know, he's vacated it . He's not -- it 's going to  
00:04 20 eventually go to foreclosure .

00:04 21 JUDGE FLORES: And he doesn't have any  
00:04 22 liability to the county ?

00:04 23 MS GARCIA: As far as I know, he doesn't.

00:04 24 JUDGE MANESS Can he come in and make  
00:04 25 bonds?

00:04 1 MS GARCIA: No.

00:04 2 JUDGE MANESS So, he's shut down anyway.

00:04 3 JUDGE DOLLINGER: Are we still holding the

00:05 4 deed of trust ?

00:05 5 JUDGE MANESS Yeah.

00:05 6 MS GARCIA: There is still bonds out . I

00:05 7 think he -- Stone still owes me some money. But the

00:05 8 property is n't value d enough for me to go seize it

00:05 9 because it's not worth anything .

00:05 10 JUDGE BRANICK: Wait. Whose property ?

00:05 11 MS GARCIA: This piece of property in

00:05 12 Galveston .

00:05 13 JUDGE FLORES: Well , how much money does he

00:05 14 owe the county , Ms Garcia ?

00:05 15 MS GARCIA: I'd have to go back and run

00:05 16 that report .

00:05 17 JUDGE MANESS About.

00:05 18 JUDGE FLORES: Do you have a guess?

00:05 19 MS GARCIA: Probably about 3,000.

00:05 20 MS BRODE Well , there is 4,000- dollars

00:05 21 worth of property . We'd get some .

00:05 22 MS GARCIA: But you won't get 4,000.

00:05 23 You're going to get maybe a thousand for it, if that .

00:05 24 And what's going to take place is once the sale takes

00:05 25 place , if there are any back taxes on the property ,

00:05 1 taxes are paid first , which we may not get anything .

00:05 2 MS BRODE That one was small . So, I  
00:05 3 wasn't really worried about that one. It was more  
00:05 4 Michael and Brett .

00:05 5 JUDGE FLORES: Well , Barborek is not making  
00:05 6 any more bonds, right ?

7 MS GARCIA: No.

00:05 8 JUDGE FLORES: And he's got that property  
00:06 9 as collateral because he still has out standing bonds; is  
10 that right ?

00:06 11 MS GARCIA: I haven't ran a report to know  
00:06 12 what bonds he still has out or not. I want to say -- I  
00:06 13 haven't even looked at that report in a long time to see  
00:06 14 if he even owes any money. Just because he doesn't owe  
00:06 15 me money doesn't mean he doesn't owe the District  
00:06 16 Clerk 's Office any money .

17 JUDGE FLORES: I understand .

00:06 18 Are you check ing that , Ms. Gillespie ?

19 MS GILLESPIE : Yes, sir .

00:06 20 JUDGE FLORES: What was the other one that  
00:06 21 we needed to look at?

00:06 22 MS GARCIA: Brett Wagoner.

00:06 23 JUDGE FLORES: Same thing there , you don't  
00:06 24 know what he owes the county?

00:06 25 MS GARCIA: As far as I know, he's current



00:06 1 with me, Brett Wagoner is.

00:06 2 JUDGE FLORES: Okay. All right . In a  
00:06 3 minute , hopefully , Ms Gillespie will be able to let us  
00:06 4 know on those two.

00:06 5 Any other questions of Mr. Funchess on the  
00:06 6 treasurer 's report ?

00:06 7 MS BRODE I actually did an audit of his  
00:06 8 report today , a sampling audit . And he did great . It  
00:07 9 was -- the files were accurate . The tax es were in the  
00:07 10 files . The insurance was there . So, he passed with  
00:07 11 flying colors .

00:07 12 JUDGE FLORES: Just excellent work as  
00:07 13 usual .

00:07 14 JUDGE DOLLINGER: We're going to buy your  
00:07 15 lunch next time .

00:07 16 MS GARCIA: But I do know on the property  
00:07 17 issue , that is public information . We can contact the  
00:07 18 appraisal district s of those counties where the property  
00:07 19 is at to see if they are current . At least we would  
00:07 20 have a standing to know if the tax es are current or not,  
00:07 21 because that is public information .

00:07 22 MR DAY: But if they're not, can you -- I  
00:07 23 mean -- it would only --

00:07 24 MS GARCIA: It would be an issue if he has  
00:07 25 bonds out with us.

00:07 1 MR DAY: Or if he had a license , put that  
00:07 2 on the license . Aren't both of their licenses expired ?

3 MS GARCIA: Uh-huh.

00:07 4 MR FUNCHES: When you say he's current ,  
00:07 5 does that mean he doesn't have any bonds outstanding ?

00:07 6 MS GARCIA: I won't know until Tina prints  
00:07 7 that report up and shows me

00:08 8 MR FUNCHES: This is not the first time I  
00:08 9 raised this question . If he doesn't owe us anything and  
00:08 10 wants to release it, fine . If he does, we can pursue  
00:08 11 it .

00:08 12 MS GARCIA: He may not owe us anything ,  
00:08 13 but he still may have bonds out on cases that are still  
00:08 14 active . That's the issue . That's why we haven't  
00:08 15 released that collateral . There may be some active  
00:08 16 criminal cases that they 're still on the bond for .

00:08 17 MR DAY: He must have because he would  
00:08 18 have gotten his property .

00:08 19 JUDGE FLORES: If he didn't have any  
00:08 20 liability out, I'm sure he'd cashed it in and get the  
00:08 21 money.

00:08 22 JUDGE DOLLINGER: Roebuck, what's the  
00:08 23 Board's option if he refuses to provide copies of taxes  
00:08 24 paid ?

00:08 25 MR ROEBUCK: I'll have to look at that

00:08 1 particular deed of trust .

00:08 2 JUDGE FLORES: Not let him write bonds .

00:08 3 But he's not writing bonds now. That's our leverage .

00:08 4 Okay. We can't let him do business . But if he 's not

00:08 5 doing business , then -- go ahead, sir .

00:08 6 MR ROEBUCK: Our leverage is that that 's a

00:08 7 violation of the deed of trust . We can call -- you

00:09 8 know, we can call his bond and foreclose .

00:09 9 MR FUNCHES: I don't think it's a

00:09 10 violation of the deed of trust . This is just something

00:09 11 we've added to our bylaws this year .

00:09 12 MR ROEBUCK: You know, I'd have to look at

00:09 13 that particular deed of trust to see if refusal to

00:09 14 provide that information triggers the deed of trust for

00:09 15 foreclosure or not. I just don't know.

00:09 16 MS BRODE: Of course , my question with all

00:09 17 this was is this good collateral so that we can collect

00:09 18 on it in the case .

00:09 19 JUDGE FLORES: We need to find out what the

00:09 20 liability is . Once we know what the liability is, then

00:09 21 we'll have a better idea .

00:09 22 Report from the Auditor 's Office .

00:09 23 MS BRODE: I gave everyone expenses and

00:09 24 revenues . They're all in line . Looks great . The

00:09 25 bondsmen listing that Tina and I were supposed to work

00:09 1 on last month got pushed back. We'll work on it in July  
00:10 2 when you're on vacation, Judge.

00:10 3 JUDGE FLORES: I'll be at school, not  
00:10 4 vacation.

00:10 5 MS GARCIA: Judge, the report that Tina  
00:10 6 just ran on Michael Barborek, he has three big felony  
00:10 7 forfeitures, two for 15,000, one for 10,000. And he has  
00:10 8 one that just got forfeited in misdemeanor court.

00:10 9 MS GILLESPIE: No. In felony court.  
00:10 10 50,000 is felony court.

00:10 11 JUDGE MANESS: Well, that means we need to  
00:10 12 get Mr. Roebuck working.

00:10 13 JUDGE FLORES: Well, he has property value  
00:10 14 of 127,000 up. So, I mean, it's collateralized, at  
00:10 15 least.

00:10 16 MS GARCIA: If you got judgments on the  
00:11 17 NICIs, all you got to do is do your writ of execution.  
00:11 18 After that we can do the order of sale. But that's not  
00:11 19 me.

00:11 20 JUDGE FLORES: I understand. It's through  
00:11 21 the DA's office.

00:11 22 JUDGE MANESS: Not us. District Clerk's  
00:11 23 Office.

00:11 24 JUDGE FLORES: I understand.

00:11 25 Mr. Day - -

00:11 1 MR ROEBUCK: Hold on just a second. I  
00:11 2 don't want us to run into some sort of getting the cart  
00:11 3 before the horse. We'd have to -- under the deed of  
00:11 4 trust, we'd have to trigger that and give him the chance  
00:11 5 to get current, which obviously he's not going to do.  
00:11 6 And then we have the notice of foreclosure. Then after  
00:11 7 that, if the property is not sufficient to satisfy the  
00:12 8 obligation --

00:12 9 JUDGE FLORES: Do we even have a NICI yet,  
10 Ms Garcia?

00:12 11 MS GARCIA: Well, those are felony cases.  
00:12 12 I can't look them up.

00:12 13 MS GILLESPIE: It looks like on three of  
00:12 14 them there might be. And on one -- the 50,000, no. It  
00:12 15 looks like it was just forfeited.

16 MS GARCIA: That just got done.

00:12 17 JUDGE FLORES: We still have to go through  
00:12 18 the regular procedure of doing the judgment NICIs and  
19 everything.

00:12 20 MR ROEBUCK: Right. When you said  
00:12 21 "execution," that's never a good term for me no matter  
00:12 22 what.

00:12 23 JUDGE FLORES: Well, that makes sense.

00:12 24 MR DAY: Judge, I get the -- in listening  
00:12 25 to everybody, I get the feeling we're assuming he's not

00:12 1 current with his taxes. But we don't know if he's just  
00:12 2 being a horse's behind and not providing the statements.  
00:12 3 He could be current.

4 MS GARCIA: The property is not in  
00:12 5 Jefferson County. The property is in Orange County.  
00:12 6 You can go to Orange County.

7 MR DAY: I wouldn't put that past him  
00:12 8 either, that it may be he's just not wanting to be  
00:13 9 cooperative.

10 JUDGE FLORES: Well, I'm sure once the  
00:13 11 NICIs are done the DA's office will follow up on it.  
00:13 12 And the thing is -- just as an observation, I'm sure  
00:13 13 that he doesn't really care, since he's not writing  
00:13 14 bonds here anymore and he's got collateral up to cover  
00:13 15 it. I don't think he's going to comply with anything  
00:13 16 that we ask him to do because he doesn't want to do  
00:13 17 business here. He just needs to -- once these are taken  
00:13 18 care of by any way that they're done, either he pays or  
00:13 19 we have to file on the property, but at least there is  
00:13 20 property available.

21 Mr. Day, you're supposed to report on  
00:13 22 dormant licenses, tabled from the last meeting.

23 MR DAY: I spoke with Scott Walstead who  
00:13 24 is the president for our state association who's also  
00:13 25 licensed in Dallas County. I talked to him about that.

00:13 1 He said I was misinformed at that state meeting , that  
00:13 2 education meeting , that Randy Adler and Rick Moore spoke  
00:13 3 at . He said that they do have a dormant license in  
00:13 4 Dallas County ; but he told me that it's not written into  
00:14 5 their local rules , that it 's more of a handshake deal  
00:14 6 that they have with Dallas County . So, he told me he  
00:14 7 doesn't have anything in writing showing they have it .  
00:14 8 So, I spoke with Tom before the meeting and was hoping  
00:14 9 that maybe - -

00:14 10 JUDGE FLORES: Just to make sure that  
00:14 11 everybody on the Bail Bond Board understands , could you  
12 kind of give a little background as to what you were  
13 looking into ?

00:14 14 MR DAY: A dormant license is basically  
00:14 15 where you can get someone licensed -- and to use me as  
00:14 16 an example , if I wanted to get my wife licensed and have  
00:14 17 her have a dormant license in case anything ever  
00:14 18 happened to me, whether it be an illness or an accident  
00:14 19 or anything to where she could immediately take over the  
00:14 20 business , activate her license and immediately take over  
00:14 21 the business , where we don't get backed into a situation  
00:14 22 where we had -- with Marsha when she passed and all the  
00:14 23 hoops that Stan had to kind of jump through to get his  
00:14 24 license . We're trying to eliminate the whole process  
00:15 25 and just do something to where someone would already be

00:15 1 licensed . You know, in her case, her son , and in my  
00:15 2 case, my wife , or if it were a child -- we're not  
00:15 3 talking about, like , a best friend or anything like  
00:15 4 that . Someone that can immediately take the business  
00:15 5 over to where you don't have a stoppage of your business  
00:15 6 with your employees and everything .

00:15 7 So, I was going to get with Tom over the  
00:15 8 next month and get something written up to bring back to  
00:15 9 the Board to see if the Board would approve something  
00:15 10 that we could have or at least if we could work on  
00:15 11 getting something like that .

00:15 12 JUDGE FLORES: Do any other bail bond  
00:15 13 boards have that anywhere else in Texas ?

00:15 14 MR DAY: Well , the only one that I know of  
00:15 15 right now is Dallas County . Stan was supposed to be  
00:15 16 checking on Harris County for me . But I'm not sure if  
00:15 17 he did or not. I haven't spoken with him. But Dallas  
00:15 18 County has it, but it 's just not in writing .

00:15 19 JUDGE FLORES: It 's not part of the bylaws .

00:15 20 MR DAY: It 's not a part of their bylaws ,  
00:15 21 according to Scott . But they do have it. They 've  
00:16 22 utilized it .

00:16 23 JUDGE FLORES: Mr. Roebuck, what do you  
00:16 24 think about that ?

00:16 25 MR ROEBUCK: It kind of scares me. You



00:16 1 know, Travis County seems to generally be on the cutting  
00:16 2 edge of this kind of stuff . Does anybody know anyone  
00:16 3 from Travis County?

00:16 4 MR DAY: I don't even know any bondsmen in  
00:16 5 Travis County, to be honest with you.

00:16 6 MR ROEBUCK: I just -- you know, I'm not  
00:16 7 sure -- I'm not sure we can do it .

00:16 8 JUDGE FLORES: Judge Maness, what are your  
00:16 9 comments?

00:16 10 JUDGE MANESS I wasn't here last week . Is  
00:16 11 there somebody wanting to do it?

00:16 12 MR DAY: Well , there is a bondsman right  
00:16 13 now that is wanting to do it. After I mentioned it to  
00:16 14 him after the meeting , he's wanting to do it . But I  
00:17 15 would want to do it as well because , you know, this is  
00:17 16 how I provide for my family .

00:17 17 JUDGE MANESS I think if anybody want s to  
00:17 18 do it and it 's not illustrated that you can do it in the  
00:17 19 Bail Bond Act, then we will make it incumbent upon them  
00:17 20 and their lawyer to convince us that it can be done,  
00:17 21 rather than have Mr. Roebuck do the research and pay .  
00:17 22 If you'll get your lawyer to do the research and come  
00:17 23 make a presentation to the committee or to the Board ,  
00:17 24 then we'll look at it .

00:17 25 It 's not in the Bail Bond Act anywhere, is

00:17 1 it , Tommy?

00:17 2 MR ROEBUCK: Not that I know of. Of  
00:17 3 course , I stumble all the time , everything I do. But  
00:17 4 I'm kind of looking at it from the standpoint of kind of  
00:17 5 a survivor ship deal . And, you know, maybe if we 've got  
00:17 6 corporate entities , we might be able to massage that  
00:17 7 somehow But I'm just concerned that you've got  
00:18 8 somebody that their license is on the bond and then  
00:18 9 somebody else who's not on the bond secedes . I just  
10 don't think --

00:18 11 MR DAY: No. Well , then maybe I need to  
00:18 12 clarify a little bit then. The person who gets licensed  
00:18 13 -- we'll use my wife as an example -- she's going to go  
00:18 14 through the whole application process . She's going to  
00:18 15 do everything that every bondsman has to do. But when  
00:18 16 she goes -- I think -- is it 60 or 90 days you have once  
00:18 17 your license is approved by the Board to fund that  
00:18 18 license ? Am I right ? Is it 60 or 90 days to fund?  
00:18 19 Basically , she wouldn't fund that license . That license  
00:18 20 would be active for , in her case, the first two years --  
00:18 21 it would be active for two years . She would not be on  
00:18 22 the list , this list (indicating ). She would not be able  
00:18 23 to post bonds. She wouldn't be able to do anything .  
00:18 24 That license would -- she would have to pay the fees to  
00:19 25 get licensed , everything . She would just not fund that

00:19 1 license ; and that license would sit dormant. It  
00:19 2 wouldn't be on the list . She couldn't post bonds,  
00:19 3 anything .

00:19 4 JUDGE FLORES: What makes that different  
00:19 5 from an agent ?

00:19 6 MR DAY: An agent can 't post bonds. They  
00:19 7 don't have -- you're talking about, like , an agent for  
00:19 8 one of our bonds ?

9 JUDGE FLORES: Yes, sir .

00:19 10 MR DAY: An agent can 't post bond s. They  
00:19 11 don't put up the collateral .

00:19 12 JUDGE MANESS You're saying that it's just  
00:19 13 they're all ready to go and if something happens to you,  
00:19 14 she brings up the money and becomes a bondsman because  
00:19 15 the bonding license is good for two years . She's met  
00:19 16 all the requirements . We just don't act on it.

17 MR DAY: Right .

00:19 18 JUDGE MANESS So, I'm not so sure , Tommy  
00:19 19 that there needs -- that that 's not sufficient . Just  
00:19 20 sit ting in a drawer .

00:19 21 MR DAY: And she wouldn't take over my --  
00:19 22 anything that I have that 's out right now. She would  
00:19 23 just come in and basically have a license set up to  
00:20 24 where when people continue to call my office , I can  
00:20 25 continue to operate -- the business can continue to

00:20 1 operate , you know. I can -- she can continue to make an  
00:20 2 income. My employees continue to have a job . Because  
00:20 3 if something were the happen to me right now, it would  
00:20 4 -- just everything would basically just shut down you  
00:20 5 know.

00:20 6 JUDGE MANESS She saves 90 days if you  
00:20 7 pass away , is what happens . She saves that time limit .  
00:20 8 She can start making bonds the next day by bringing the  
00:20 9 money because she file d early . But if she doesn 't do  
00:20 10 anything and then does that , which she can do after you  
00:20 11 pass, then she has to go through those time limit s.

12 MR DAY: Right .

00:20 13 JUDGE MANESS I think I see what he's  
00:20 14 talking about .

00:20 15 JUDGE FLORES: Judge , it 's not necessarily  
00:20 16 bringing the money . Let 's say if Keith dies and he  
00:20 17 leaves it in a will all the property to her , can she  
00:20 18 then bring that as a letter of testamentary to us?

19 JUDGE MANESS Well , that is a good  
20 question .

00:20 21 MR DAY: That would be over a time period  
00:20 22 there that you would have to wait .

00:20 23 JUDGE DOLLINGER: That would be later .

24 MR DAY: Yes .

00:20 25 But she could immediately get the license

00:21 1 activated if she were to bring the collateral .

00:21 2 JUDGE BRANICK: Ten days .

3 JUDGE FLORES: I was about to ask the  
4 probate judge .

5 JUDGE BRANICK: Letter of testamentary on  
00:21 6 file for ten days , we can have a hearing .

00:21 7 MR DAY: The only problem with that is my  
00:21 8 property would be pledged to the other -- on the other  
00:21 9 license , though , right ? So , would it still transfer ?

00:21 10 MR ROEBUCK: See , that 's my concern . That  
00:21 11 would be the problem .

00:21 12 MR DAY: That would be a problem . But our  
00:21 13 concern was to be able to get that \$50,000 CD or  
00:21 14 whatever and get it down here so the business could  
00:21 15 continue on while we go through that process .

00:21 16 JUDGE BRANICK: I guess your wife signs on  
00:21 17 the pledge of your collateral for your bond , right ? She  
00:21 18 has a community property interest in it .

19 MR DAY: Well , yeah .

00:21 20 MR ROEBUCK: Judge , would this work ?

00:21 21 Let 's assume we 've got , for argument 's sake , community  
00:22 22 title . Seems to me when she activates her license , she  
00:22 23 can just sign off on a new deed of trust maybe .

00:22 24 MS GARCIA: But she 's already on the deed  
00:22 25 of trust .

00:22 1 JUDGE BRANICK: It seems to me that if the  
00:22 2 amount of property that is there covers the amount of  
00:22 3 bonds he has and there is an additional left over , the  
00:22 4 additional value would cover her on her application .

00:22 5 MR ROEBUCK Except for writing . See --

00:22 6 MS CRIBBS: Can I ask a question ? I'm  
00:22 7 just curious . Does his estate become responsible for  
00:22 8 his bonds if he were to die ? And then who would manage  
00:22 9 those if there were court revocations and all that on  
00:22 10 the bond? How does that work when a bondsman dies and  
00:22 11 he has bonds?

00:22 12 JUDGE BRANICK: What if there is no -- you  
00:22 13 know, it may be six months after his death and you 've  
00:22 14 got four months to present a claim if you 're sent a  
00:23 15 letter saying , hey, and then maybe six months before one  
00:23 16 of them forfeits . So, the claim doesn 't even rise .

00:23 17 JUDGE MANESS We've never moved against an  
00:23 18 estate , I don't think , because you have the collateral  
00:23 19 and you move on that .

00:23 20 JUDGE BRANICK: You've got the deed of  
00:23 21 trust .

00:23 22 MS GARCIA: Well , the only time that we  
00:23 23 had a bondsman pass away is Bob Ogden. He had very few  
00:23 24 cases even done . We still did the forfeiture process ,  
00:23 25 but we didn 't do the NICI . At least in misdemeanors it

00:23 1 was so, like , barely just court costs.

00:23 2 MR DAY: Also in his case his business  
00:23 3 didn't continue . Once his -- once he passed away, the  
00:23 4 wife didn't continue it on . So, that wouldn't even be a  
00:23 5 comparison here because I think we had mentioned that  
00:23 6 last month. But in the case of when Marsha passed, it  
00:23 7 was a perfect example of what we're trying to do .

00:23 8 JUDGE MANESS Let me ask you . Let's  
00:23 9 assume that we do that . You know, next -- let's assume  
00:24 10 that you didn't even bring this up about dormancy and we  
00:24 11 get all confused . Just next week you file an  
00:24 12 application for your wife to be a bondsman, just file  
00:24 13 it . And we go through the process and approve it. We  
00:24 14 would never even have this conversation . She'd be  
00:24 15 approved , and you would keep it dormant. She would just  
00:24 16 never make bonds.

00:24 17 JUDGE DOLLINGER: I think there is a rule  
00:24 18 that he was talking about where if she didn't bring up  
00:24 19 some money to fund it , it basically lapses .

00:24 20 JUDGE MANESS So, basically he wants to  
00:24 21 waive that 90-day rule , is what he's asking ?

00:24 22 MS PRESLEY: The funding rule .

00:24 23 What about the name of the company, would  
00:24 24 she use yours ?

00:24 25 MR DAY: I don't know. That would have to

00:24 1 -- on that -- it's just basically getting on that list  
00:24 2 as -- getting on that list under --

00:25 3 MS GARCIA: One of the problems that I  
00:25 4 know I experienced on the clerk end of it is when Stan  
00:25 5 and Marsha's issues came up, I think we -- Tina , did we  
00:25 6 keep them the same number; or they got a new number?

00:25 7 MS GILLESPIE : Uh-huh.

00:25 8 MS PRESLEY: It's different from Marsha.  
00:25 9 Totally different .

00:25 10 MR DAY: I think each license has a  
00:25 11 different number.

00:25 12 MS PRESLEY: It didn't go to Marsha's.

00:25 13 MS GARCIA: There was a problem here  
00:25 14 recently -- I didn't research it -- something with the  
00:25 15 number.

00:25 16 MR ROEBUCK: Can I kind of say what my  
00:25 17 concern is? This obviously is an area that is new and  
00:25 18 may be an area of first impression . And what we as  
00:25 19 lawyers like to do , especially if we 're doing paper s, as  
00:25 20 we say, is we want to hedge our bets and try to avoid  
00:25 21 anything that might be a future problem . And I can  
00:26 22 foresee something where we have a replacement or a  
00:26 23 dormant or substitute bonding company and somebody comes  
00:26 24 in , if there is a default and there is a judgment NICI  
00:26 25 and an effort to foreclose , that , you know, if I'm the



00:26 1 lawyer that is representing the bonding company , first  
00:26 2 thing I'm going to do is throw up," she wasn't licensed  
00:26 3 so you can 't do it ."

00:26 4 What I'm thinking is , you know, we can  
00:26 5 probably do it ; but what I would suggest is that if that  
00:26 6 all comes to pass that there be a deed of trust renewing  
00:26 7 and extending the obligation so that it would probably  
00:26 8 avoid that one issue , if I make any sense , so that we  
00:26 9 now have a new deed of trust that might not be  
00:26 10 necessary , but certainly it wouldn't hurt anything .

00:27 11 MR DAY: Well , and you -all went a little  
00:27 12 farther than what I was taking with the deed of trust .  
00:27 13 When I have my property up here , basically I was  
00:27 14 stopping it at her license remains dormant , something  
00:27 15 happens to me, she pledges \$50,000 and can start  
00:27 16 business immediately right then and then what happens  
00:27 17 with the property as time goes on, you know, she would  
00:27 18 get the property back as my liability would dwindle and  
00:27 19 then take that property and put it on her liability once  
00:27 20 my -- because it would take -- I mean, if I stopped  
00:27 21 writing bonds today , it would take years and years for  
00:27 22 all my cases to get resolved , for all that -- my  
00:27 23 liability or my properties that I have pledged to go  
00:27 24 back .

00:27 25 I mean, you can see Robert Stone hasn 't - -

00:27 1 I mean, when is the last bond that he had ?

00:27 2 MS GARCIA: Oh, it was about ten years ,  
00:27 3 I'd say.

00:27 4 MR DAY: And Robert didn't write very much  
00:27 5 at all . So, it would take a long time .

00:27 6 What I was just getting at was just  
00:28 7 pledging that initial 50,000 just to continue the  
00:28 8 operation of the business for the most part .

00:28 9 JUDGE DOLLINGER: The requirement that the  
00:28 10 license be funded within either 60 or 90 days, is a  
00:28 11 local rule of ours, not part of the Bail Bond Act,  
00:28 12 correct ?

00:28 13 MS PRESLEY: I think it's in Chapter 1704 .

00:28 14 JUDGE DOLLINGER: Well, if it 's in 1704,  
00:28 15 then I don't know how we can alter the requirement . If  
00:28 16 it was a local rule , certainly we would have the ability  
00:28 17 to waive the requirement . But if it 's part of the state  
00:28 18 law in the State Bail Bond Board Act, I don't know that  
00:28 19 we would even have the authority to waive funding a  
00:28 20 license .

00:28 21 MR DAY: I'm just not sure what it says in  
00:28 22 Chapter 17 what happens to that license if you don't  
00:28 23 fund it. That's the only thing .

24 JUDGE DOLLINGER: That would be ground zero  
00:28 25 for me to find out .

00:29 1 MR DAY: Obviously , if it 's against the  
00:29 2 Act, we can't do anything . But, obviously , there is  
00:29 3 something that can be done. Like I said , Dallas County  
00:29 4 is doing it . They just don't have it -- and I can't  
00:29 5 imagine a county of that size would go against --

00:29 6 MR ROEBUCK Well , why don't we just  
00:29 7 require it to be funded ? I mean, what is it , 500 bucks ?

00:29 8 JUDGE MANESS Yeah. How much would it  
00:29 9 cost you to just fund her ? How much would it cost you ?

10 MR DAY: \$ 50,000.

11 JUDGE MANESS That's a drop in the bucket  
00:29 12 for a man of your means.

00:29 13 MR DAY: But if that were the case , then  
00:29 14 -- well , I think another thing that Dallas County has is  
00:29 15 a local rule that you can 't run two bonding companies  
00:29 16 out of the same office . And that was another reason why  
00:29 17 rather than having to fund the license and do it the way  
00:30 18 you're talking about , Dallas County made the license  
00:30 19 dormant so that way they wouldn't be required to open up  
00:30 20 another office in order to --

00:30 21 MS GARCIA: That would be a local rule of  
00:30 22 their s.

00:30 23 MR DAY: The running two bonding companies  
00:30 24 out of one office , yes. And I don't know if you want to  
00:30 25 get into --

00:30 1 JUDGE FLORES: Don't we have that situation  
00:30 2 right now?

00:30 3 MS PRESLEY: Yeah.

00:30 4 JUDGE MANESS We have bonding companies do  
00:30 5 that now?

00:30 6 JUDGE FLORES: We've got at least -- yes,  
00:30 7 sir. We've got a couple that are in the same building.  
00:30 8 Supposedly they have different numbers and whatever.  
00:30 9 And I think they do have different numbers.

00:30 10 JUDGE MANESS Why do they prevent that,  
00:30 11 Keith? Why not have it run out of the same office?  
00:30 12 What difference does it make?

00:30 13 MR DAY: My only guess would be is that  
00:30 14 you would have two -- I don't know how they do it. In  
00:31 15 our case you have two different numbers on the list, two  
00:31 16 different spots on the list and everything going through  
00:31 17 the same office. So, basically, I think what they try  
00:31 18 to do is get out of the situation where if I go out and  
00:31 19 I get four people licensed and they run them all out of  
00:31 20 my company, now, boom, I have four spots on this list  
00:31 21 now. So, every time some inmate goes to call me, I'm  
00:31 22 going to get, you know, four stabs at somebody calling.  
00:31 23 And just answering, I think that's probably why they do  
00:31 24 that.

00:31 25 MS GARCIA: If one -- it appears

00:31 1 everything is separate , but really it's all being funded  
00:31 2 to the same. So, if one went to default , you'd still  
00:31 3 have money coming in from the other company.

4 JUDGE FLORES: Judge Maness, at one time we  
00:31 5 also had the question that some people had put up  
00:31 6 collateral for their business , but they wanted to open  
00:31 7 up another business with an insurance company doing the  
00:31 8 collateral and having two shots at it that way. I think  
00:32 9 -- you recall that ?

00:32 10 MR DAY: Yeah. I don't think -- I don't  
00:32 11 know if that is -- I would prefer not to --

00:32 12 JUDGE MANESS I make a motion that we  
00:32 13 table it until the next time . Keith , if you 'll come  
00:32 14 back and tell us what some other counties do and maybe  
00:32 15 have your counsel with you to explain why he feels like  
00:32 16 it 's legal and it does not conflict with the Bail Bond  
00:32 17 Board Act. And we'll all think on it for a month. This  
00:32 18 has gotten -- it's kind of convoluted . It seems simple ,  
00:32 19 but it 's not.

00:32 20 JUDGE FLORES: Yes, sir .

00:32 21 Any second to Judge Maness' motion to table  
00:32 22 this ?

00:32 23 MS GARCIA: Second.

00:32 24 JUDGE FLORES: All those in favor of  
00:32 25 tabling this to the next time so that Mr. Day can bring

00:32 1 in the proper information ?

00:32 2 (All respond "I").

00:32 3 JUDGE FLORES: Okay.

00:32 4 MR ROEBUCK: In case I get really  
00:32 5 diligent , we're talking about dormant licenses , whether  
00:33 6 or not -- for lack of a better term, whether we can do  
00:33 7 something like that and multiple companies in the same  
00:33 8 building .

00:33 9 JUDGE MANESS: Well , it may evolve to that  
00:33 10 if in fact she goes ahead and gets licensed , like we  
00:33 11 talked about and not be dormant, that they 'll both be in  
00:33 12 the same building . But right now that's not the issue .

00:33 13 MR ROEBUCK: It will be if -- I think , if  
00:33 14 we decide that option number one can be done, then we're  
00:33 15 going to have to address it anyway.

00:33 16 JUDGE FLORES: Is there anything in the  
00:33 17 code that prohibits two bonding companies from being in  
00:33 18 the same building ?

00:33 19 MR DAY: Not that I'm aware of.

00:33 20 JUDGE FLORES: I didn't think so.

00:33 21 So, unless we can show that it's basically  
00:33 22 just a sham so somebody can get on the list twice , but I  
00:33 23 have no problem with people officing all in the same  
00:34 24 place as long as they remain separate . It's just like  
00:34 25 two lawyers practicing law sharing expense s, two

00:34 1 different businesses .

00:34 2 MR DAY: Tom, what you're talking about,  
00:34 3 though, having -- like , if something were to happen to  
00:34 4 me and her license become active at that point , my  
00:34 5 license could become in active at that point , couldn't  
00:34 6 it , if something were to happen to me? Nothing could be  
00:34 7 done with my license . So, at that point that license  
00:34 8 would be in active anyway. So, really you would only  
00:34 9 have one active license running out of that .

00:34 10 MR ROEBUCK: That's a scenario . But I'm  
00:34 11 wondering what we're going to end up with with this  
00:34 12 other . It may be that it has to be some kind of funding  
00:34 13 or active or whatever you want to call it . And here  
00:34 14 again , I'm going with -- I'm looking down the road .  
00:34 15 Somebody else might say, wait a minute; you know, you  
00:34 16 can call it what you want to, but Keith is running two  
00:35 17 bail bond companies ; that's not fair ; that's not right .

00:35 18 So, I'm not saying -- I don't think there  
00:35 19 is anything wrong with it personally . I'm just like  
00:35 20 Judge. That's the reason we have big law firms , so they  
00:35 21 can pool all their stuff and make a lot of money.

00:35 22 So, my thoughts are that I'm not really  
00:35 23 concerned about it ; but I think we need to look at it  
00:35 24 and see if it's prohibited , if there is any case law or  
00:35 25 something .

00:35 1 MR DAY: I'm not by any means going to  
00:35 2 speak for all the other bonds men I'll just speak for  
00:35 3 myself on the fact that I don't like the idea of more  
00:35 4 than one bonding company running out of the same office .  
00:35 5 I think it just -- I think there is issues with that  
00:35 6 and then having the same -- two different license s going  
00:35 7 through the same company. But that's just my personal  
00:35 8 opinion .

00:35 9 JUDGE FLORES: My main concern is being  
00:35 10 able to trace it back to who is going to be liable if  
00:36 11 there is a bond forfeiture and who's going to be  
00:36 12 responsible . I think the whole thing is on  
00:36 13 responsibility . The fact that two people are officing  
00:36 14 together , I mean, everybody is responsible for their own  
00:36 15 action s. But if they're using the bonding companies as  
00:36 16 a sham to shift it from one to the other or disclaim and  
00:36 17 say, well , that's not me, it's the other guy or  
00:36 18 whatever , then you got a problem . As long as you can  
00:36 19 trace it back to somebody being liable , that's I think  
00:36 20 -- maybe I'm wrong, Mr. Roebuck, but I think that's our  
00:36 21 main concern .

00:36 22 MR ROEBUCK: And that kind of gets me back  
00:36 23 to my first concern , is to make sure that the real  
00:36 24 estate security is properly in place . That's why my  
00:36 25 first thought is maybe we ought to renew and extend the



00:36 1 deed of trust . And if that license gets -- well , that  
00:36 2 gets back to whether or not it 's dormant or whether or  
00:36 3 not that is an active license and whether it would do  
00:36 4 any good to do it .

00:36 5 JUDGE FLORES: Well , it 's already been  
00:36 6 moved that we table this . Mr. Day, if you get some more  
00:36 7 information on this and you want to present it, bring us  
00:37 8 the information . If there is nothing further , then this  
00:37 9 issue has been tabled .

00:37 10 Now we're going to discuss voluntary  
00:37 11 surrenders at the jail . And I think that , Lieutenant ,  
00:37 12 you were going to look into that and kind of give us a  
00:37 13 brief summary as to what was going on and the reason for  
00:37 14 that , unless someone else care s to speak on it on behalf  
00:37 15 of the Sheriff 's Department .

00:37 16 MR DAY: Well , can I say something ?

17 JUDGE FLORES: Go ahead.

00:37 18 MR DAY: Can you kind of remind us?  
00:37 19 Because the story I heard before it was brought up in  
00:37 20 the meeting about what happened was different than what  
00:37 21 I heard at the meeting . The bonds man that came in with  
00:37 22 the defendant saying that she wanted to turn herself in  
00:37 23 voluntarily had her in handcuffs , right ? Is that right ?

00:37 24 MR KELLY: Uh-huh.

00:37 25 MR DAY: So, he brought her up there in

00:37 1 handcuff s, which we all agreed that there is nothing  
00:37 2 voluntary about that .

3 MR KELLY: Correct .

00:38 4 MR DAY: But also was the bondsman that  
00:38 5 did that licensed to even apprehend and bring someone  
00:38 6 in ? Well , first off , I guess he couldn 't have if the  
00:38 7 defendant didn 't have a warrant , right ?

00:38 8 MR KELLY: Exactly .

00:38 9 MR DAY: Because the defendant didn 't have  
00:38 10 a warrant . So, he can't -- even if he was licensed --

00:38 11 MR KELLY: Couldn't bring him to the jail .

00:38 12 MR DAY: -- he's still not supposed to  
00:38 13 bring somebody to jail without a warrant . So, that is  
00:38 14 what happened . The bondsman brought the defendant in --  
00:38 15 well , she wouldn't really be a defendant -- but brought  
00:38 16 the person in cuffs to the jail without a warrant ,  
00:38 17 right ?

00:38 18 MR KELLY: Correct .

00:38 19 JUDGE FLORES: I think where the Lieutenant  
00:38 20 is coming from is this -- if I remember correctly , he  
00:38 21 just wants to make sure they don't take somebody else --  
00:38 22 don't take anybody in the jail unless there is a warrant  
00:38 23 signed by a judge authorizing them to arrest somebody  
00:38 24 because that 's -- instead of a bondsman just showing up  
00:38 25 there and dropping somebody off without a warrant

00:38 1 because there is not an AFRS on file . If there is an  
00:39 2 AFRS on file already and the judge has already sign ed  
00:39 3 it , then you got a different deal .

00:39 4 JUDGE MANESS You have a warrant emanating  
00:39 5 from that .

00:39 6 MR DAY: Yeah, I agree .

00:39 7 But the reason I brought up the other is  
00:39 8 the last thing I want is -- the bondsmen are having a  
00:39 9 hard enough time with our reputation ; but to have a  
00:39 10 bondsman come in there break ing the law, it look s bad on  
00:39 11 all of us . And if he is coming in there with somebody  
00:39 12 in cuffs and he's not a licensed peace officer , then  
00:39 13 it 's going to reflect on all of us. That's the bigger  
00:39 14 picture .

15 JUDGE FLORES: The only problem is that the  
00:39 16 sheriff is not going to take him.

00:39 17 MR DAY: Well , I'm talking about the  
00:39 18 legality of what the bondsman did .

00:39 19 JUDGE FLORES: Any other comments?  
00:39 20 Mr. Segura ?

00:39 21 MR SEGURA: If a bondsman has someone on  
00:39 22 bond and the defendant themself volunteers them selves  
00:39 23 into , because they cannot pay or whatever , you don 't  
00:40 24 need a warrant for that person to volunteer himself back  
00:40 25 into jail .

00:40 1 MR ROEBUCK You're not going to take him,  
00:40 2 are you ?

00:40 3 MR KELLY: They're not going to take him  
00:40 4 without a warrant .

5 JUDGE MANESS Isn't the remedy to go to  
00:40 6 the Court and file an Affidavit for Release of Surety  
00:40 7 and the reason you're doing it is the defendant can't  
00:40 8 pay and wants to go to jail and then you get a warrant ?  
00:40 9 That's the protection of you. In other words , if you at  
00:40 10 midnight drag this person back to jail on your own hook,  
00:40 11 unless there is something in the constitution or case  
00:40 12 law -- I know there is some weird law there -- I don't  
13 think you --

14 MR SEGURA: But what I'm saying is that  
00:40 15 you're not looking for this person . This person is  
00:40 16 volunteering himself in himself . You're not bringing  
00:40 17 them in cuffs . They're bringing themselves back .

00:40 18 MR DAY: But what does the jail hold them  
19 on?

00:40 20 MR SEGURA: On the same charge that you  
00:40 21 bonded them out on.

00:40 22 JUDGE FLORES: But If you've already got a  
00:40 23 valid bond on it, Mr. Segura , you cannot do that unless  
00:40 24 the bond is then set aside ; you do an affidavit for  
00:41 25 surety and then there is a warrant . If there is no

00:41 1 warrant on that person , the jail is not going to take  
00:41 2 them into custody . That 's why we have the Affidavit for  
00:41 3 Release of Surety . And once a judge sign s it , then you  
00:41 4 got a warrant .

00:41 5 MS GARCIA: So, the only time a voluntary  
00:41 6 surrender comes into place is if the person is already  
00:41 7 in custody , whether it's that charge , another charge ,  
00:41 8 whatever , because they 're in custody .

00:41 9 MR SEGURA: If they 're in custody , they 're  
00:41 10 not voluntary .

00:41 11 MS GARCIA: They may be in custody on not  
00:41 12 your charge . They may be in Orange County . You find  
00:41 13 out . You want to get off the bond.

00:41 14 MR SEGURA: That 's a warrant . That 's not  
00:41 15 voluntary .

00:41 16 MS GARCIA: That 's what triggers it . If  
00:41 17 you file a voluntary surrender in , we have to submit it  
00:41 18 up to the Court , J udge signs it, we issue an AFRS  
00:41 19 warrant to put the hold in the other county .

20 MR SEGURA: That is an AFRS. That 's not a  
21 voluntary .

22 MS GARCIA: No. It 's a voluntary  
00:41 23 surrender . It 's not on that form . We have to go  
00:41 24 manually type it in there at the bottom and get Judge to  
00:41 25 sign it.

1 JUDGE MANESS We shouldn 't be talking  
00:42 2 about this . This is the sheriff .

00:42 3 JUDGE FLORES: Mr. Segura , this is the  
00:42 4 problem , is that this is a policy decision by the  
00:42 5 Sheriff 's Department and it 's not -- and that 's not  
00:42 6 anything for the Bail Bond Board to decide . I think we  
00:42 7 came to the same conclusion last time when Lieutenant  
00:42 8 Kelly said that they 're not going to take them unless  
00:42 9 there is a warrant .

00:42 10 MR KELLY: This is what everybody is  
00:42 11 missing the point on. Well , I'm not saying "everybody ."  
00:42 12 But they 're missing a point . That AFRS , all that does  
00:42 13 is for the jail to verify whether that person is in  
00:42 14 custody or not .

00:42 15 MR SEGURA: That 's an AFRS. That 's  
00:42 16 getting a warrant .

00:42 17 MR DAY: You mean it 's a voluntary  
00:42 18 surrender .

00:42 19 MR KELLY: Right . But what you guys don't  
00:42 20 understand , we can't place a hold on anybody or put  
00:42 21 anybody in jail without the documentation that tells us  
00:42 22 to do that .

00:42 23 JUDGE FLORES: Without authorization from a  
00:42 24 judge , normally . Okay. Just because the person wants  
00:42 25 to check themself in and there is not a warrant for

00:42 1 their arrest , where are they going to go? There is not  
00:42 2 going to be a warrant unless you get off the bond.

00:42 3 JUDGE MANESS Judge , I don't think this  
00:43 4 Board ought to even be discuss ing this . Even giving --  
00:43 5 we can't give direction s to the duly -elected sheriff on  
00:43 6 when he can take --

00:43 7 JUDGE FLORES: The reason is they were  
00:43 8 having a problem and Lieutenant Kelly came to us as king  
00:43 9 for some advice and we kind of told him last time it's  
00:43 10 up to them.

00:43 11 JUDGE MANESS Yeah. If you need a legal  
00:43 12 opinion , then holler at us . We'll do that , not the  
00:43 13 Board .

00:43 14 JUDGE FLORES: Yes , sir .

00:43 15 Any other new business ? Any further old  
00:43 16 business ?

00:43 17 (No response ).

00:43 18 JUDGE FLORES: Judge Dollinger ?

00:43 19 JUDGE DOLLINGER: Move to adjourn .

00:43 20 JUDGE FLORES: Judge Maness?

00:43 21 JUDGE MANESS I second , Your Honor.

00:43 22 JUDGE FLORES: All those in favor of  
00:43 23 adjournment signify by saying "I."

24 (All respond "I").

25 (Conclusion of meeting ).

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

THE STATE OF TEXAS )  
COUNTY OF JEFFERSON )

I, ALISA E. RAUMAKER, Official Court Reporter in  
and for the County Court at Law of Jefferson County,  
State of Texas, do hereby certify that the above and  
foregoing contains a true and correct transcription of  
all portions of evidence and other proceedings requested  
in writing by counsel for the parties to be included in  
this volume of the Reporter's Record, in the  
above-styled and numbered cause, all of which occurred  
in open court or in chambers and were reported by me.

I further certify that this Reporter's Record of  
the proceedings truly and correctly reflects the  
exhibits, if any, admitted by the respective parties.

I further certify that the total cost for the  
preparation of this Reporter's Record is \$\_\_\_\_\_ and  
was paid by \_\_\_\_\_.

WITNESS MY OFFICIAL HAND this the \_\_\_\_\_ day of  
\_\_\_\_\_, 2012.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Alisa E. Raumaker, Texas CSR 5385  
Expiration Date : 12/31/2013  
Official Court Reporter  
County Court at Law  
Jefferson County, Texas  
Beaumont, Texas