

BAIL BOND BOARD HEARING

FEBRUARY 20, 2020

THOSE PRESENT: Judge Clint Woods

Judge Ransom "Duce" Jones

Jamie Smith

Charlie Hallmark

Becky Garcia

Glenda Segura

Tom Roebuck

Dustin Galmor

Joleen Fregia

Al Reed

Tamika Martin

Chief James Kelly

JUDGE WOODS: Now call the meeting of February 6th, 2020 --

MS. SEGURA: No. February 20th.

JUDGE WOODS: February 20th. I'm sorry.

Looking at the wrong date. I was looking at the wrong date.

-- the February 20th bail bond meeting for 2020. Okay.

On the agenda, number one, review the minutes from last month's meeting. It's my understanding the January minutes have been posted for review. Do I have a motion to approve those minutes?

JUDGE JONES: So moved.

MR. SMITH: Second.

JUDGE WOODS: Those will be approved. It's also my understanding December is not yet completed. It's in the process of being completed and once it's completed, it will then be posted and we will vote on those minutes hopefully next meeting.

Number two, report from the district attorney's office. I believe that's been passed out. Any discussion on the district attorney's report?

MR. REED: It says 62 in judgments has been filed and 26,000 has been collected, but it doesn't say anything about the collections on --

MS. GARCIA: No, the 26,000 plus that was

1 collected is judgments that occurred previously because we have
2 to wait the 30-plus days to file those previous cases on the
3 docket. That's just what was collected in the month of January
4 for the December docket.

5 MR. REED: I see.

6 MS. GARCIA: The 62 cases were filed for the
7 month.

8 MR. REED: Okay.

9 JUDGE WOODS: Any more discussion regarding the
10 district attorney's report? None. Okay.

11 Number three, consider and approve applications
12 for becoming a bondsman or agent of a bondsman.

13 MS. GARCIA: We have one renewal, Stellina
14 Reed. That application was emailed out to all the members.
15 Everything is in order.

16 JUDGE WOODS: Is there any discussion about
17 that application from anybody on the board? Hearing none, do I
18 have a motion to approve that application?

19 MR. HALLMARK: So moved.

20 JUDGE JONES: Second.

21 JUDGE WOODS: Application will be approved.

22 Number four, consider any complaints against
23 bondsmen? Any complaints that we have this month? None?

24 MS. SEGURA: None.

25 JUDGE WOODS: Hallelujah. Finally.

1 Number five, report from treasurer's office.
2 That's been passed out. Has everybody had a chance to review
3 that, and are there any discussions about the treasurer's
4 report?

5 MR. HALLMARK: There are -- the only issue we
6 have, I think we are going to be able to consider this maybe
7 later on is on number 8 is regarding insurance --

8 JUDGE WOODS: Okay.

9 MR. HALLMARK: -- as it pertains to the deed of
10 trust so we will take that up at number 8.

11 JUDGE WOODS: Okay. So no discussion as to
12 agenda number five concerning your report as of right now?

13 MR. HALLMARK: No, sir.

14 JUDGE WOODS: Okay. Then hearing no
15 discussion, then we will move forward.

16 Number six, release of any property, CD, cash
17 or cashier's checks. It's my understanding there is Mr. Keith
18 Day --

19 MS. SEGURA: Yes.

20 JUDGE WOODS: -- is wanting a release of CDs.

21 MS. SEGURA: CDs. And he'll still be in good
22 standing if we release the CDs.

23 JUDGE WOODS: Any discussion with the release
24 of the CDs for Mr. Keith Day? No discussion. Do I have a
25 motion to release those CDs for Mr. Keith Day?

1 JUDGE JONES: So moved.

2 JUDGE WOODS: Do I have a second?

3 MR. HALLMARK: Second.

4 JUDGE WOODS: All right. Move forward. Those
5 are approved for the release of those CDs for Mr. Day.

6 And number seven, report from the auditor's
7 office. I don't think we have a representative from the
8 auditors office today.

9 MS. GARCIA: We don't. She is on a cruise.

10 JUDGE WOODS: Okay. So we'll pass on that.

11 JUDGE JONES: I want to be on a cruise.

12 JUDGE WOODS: Okay. Number eight, consider
13 --consideration of reducing bonding authority for failure to
14 comply with the deed of trust specifically related to insurance.
15 Now, it's my understanding that this issue is being reviewed and
16 examined by a rules committee that was put into place to
17 consider a rule change to the bonds. And did you have anything
18 you wanted to add to that?

19 MR. HALLMARK: Yeah, the only -- I've got a
20 couple of concerns as it pertains to the deed of trust.

21 JUDGE WOODS: Okay.

22 MR. HALLMARK: Is that, number one, well, is
23 that it says, well, with our rules, it says that the insurances
24 have to be turned in by February the 1st but yet the deed of
25 trust says it has to be turned in within 10 days.

1 MS. GARCIA: Well, let me clarify that part of
2 it. The 10 days on the deed of trust is when a new piece of
3 property is being pledged and that deed of trust has to be, once
4 voted on, signed and then recorded in our office so that's that
5 10-day portion of it. Okay?

6 MR. HALLMARK: Uh-huh.

7 MS. GARCIA: The -- it doesn't really pertain
8 to what you and I discussed this morning. So that 10 days is
9 actually to get it recorded in the county in which the property
10 lies.

11 MR. HALLMARK: Okay. Well, the other thing was
12 is that these bail bondsmen are they operating on the old deed
13 of trust? For example, when they turned in their application
14 two years ago and their application lasts for three years and
15 they're operating on the old deed of trust, are they
16 grandfathered in at the old deed of trust; or does everybody
17 move to the new deed of trust? Because if so, that's going to
18 change the way we collect our insurance and proof of it anyway.

19 MS. GARCIA: Right. So in recent year, months,
20 we have adjusted our deed of trust form to be more compliant
21 with our rules as set out. However, in place currently, we have
22 sureties that have deeds of trust that were before this new form
23 was created so I think that's the concern, that the language in
24 those deeds of trust aren't what is currently in place for any
25 new property collateral being pledged.

1 JUDGE JONES: The question would be is it
2 retroactive? Is it retroactive, or are they grandfathered in?
3 And that's going to be the issue.

4 JUDGE WOODS: Right. And I think, correct me
5 if I'm wrong -- Mr. Roebuck, you're on the committee for the
6 rule change in this regard; is that correct?

7 MR. ROEBUCK: If you say so.

8 JUDGE WOODS: Yeah. So I guess the committee
9 needs to consider that aspect of it to make sure that the rules
10 will take that into consideration.

11 MR. HALLMARK: It's just kind of cleaning up
12 some things really. I mean, we are just kind of getting into
13 this since last year and we just got a little growing pains with
14 it. But another thing was the bonding limit also that we are
15 dealing with is, is the bonding limit based on the improvement
16 of the property or is it based on the total property? For
17 example, if your land is worth \$100,000 and the improvement on
18 the land is worth \$100,000 but their bonding limit is, let's
19 say, 100,000, well, we have some that are just bonded on what
20 their improvement on that property is and actually the --
21 because it can be significant. A land value is -- can be
22 significantly different if you improve it, with just putting a
23 house or a building or something on it. So we don't know or we
24 just need some clarification, I guess you could say, if the
25 insurance we are collecting should it be on the improvement

1 value or the entire value that they're being bonded at because
2 we have some that are -- the improvement is insured at 250,000
3 but yet their bonding limit is over 400,000. And so if it's
4 just the improvement, then we are going to have to go back to
5 this bondsman and say you need to increase your insurance to
6 cover the amount that your bonding limit covers.

7 JUDGE WOODS: Because what you're saying is
8 that the insurance is only covering the structure not the
9 property?

10 MR. HALLMARK: Right. Because, I mean, you
11 could have one value -- You have the two values, the land value
12 and the improvement value.

13 MS. GARCIA: Well, and another part of the
14 problem would be the values can vary from year to year and that
15 can go up or down based on what's happened like with the
16 hurricane or whatever but it's happening between their next
17 renewal period when we actually have to renew their license. So
18 this is affecting their office as to looking at what's being
19 turned in from year to year as to whether it needs to go up or
20 go down.

21 JUDGE WOODS: Right. Mr. Roebuck, do you have
22 something?

23 MR. ROEBUCK: I think we are confusing
24 ourselves here. There is a difference between insurance which
25 typically only insures the improvements. And bear in mind, that

1 our security is the total value of the property. So the purpose
2 of the insurance is to just in the event of catastrophic flood
3 or fire or windstorm or whatever it is, to bring that property
4 back to the pre-tragedy improved value. So I think we are
5 talking apples and oranges here.

6 I mean, it's for bonding purposes ought to be
7 the total value of the property. For insurance purposes it's
8 only has to be insured typically for the value of the
9 improvements.

10 JUDGE WOODS: Right. And it's almost a risk
11 that we can't cover because if there is a catastrophic event,
12 the value of the property is probably going to go down
13 regardless because it's been affected by a major flood or a fire
14 or whatever and it could affect its value -- or an explosion
15 from TPC.

16 MR. ROEBUCK: Haven't we typically used the
17 tax, ad valorem tax, value which usually is not quite fair
18 market value?

19 JUDGE WOODS: Right.

20 MR. HALLMARK: We just need to know what we
21 need to be collecting is all, and I just want to make sure that
22 our office is keeping accurate records on what we are supposed
23 to be keeping. That was my only concern about all of this.

24 JUDGE WOODS: Well, I can guess we can leave
25 this up to the rules committee to see if there is a way to

1 address it so it's more clear for the board and for the bondsmen
2 as to what's expected of them and of us.

3 MS. GARCIA: Right.

4 JUDGE WOODS: So I guess we will --

5 MS. GARCIA: Let the rules committee kind of
6 look over it, get those that need to be involved involved on it
7 and make a suggestion to the board at the following meeting and
8 then at that time suggest a local rule change.

9 JUDGE WOODS: And that will give Mr. Hallmark a
10 better --

11 MS. GARCIA: Exactly.

12 JUDGE WOODS: -- understanding of what he's
13 expected.

14 MS. GARCIA: Right.

15 JUDGE WOODS: Any more discussion in that
16 regard until later?

17 All right. Number nine, vote for a criminal
18 defense attorney for the Bail Bond Board. Mr. Galmor is
19 present. You still willing and able to do it?

20 MR. GALMOR: Yes. And a notice was sent out.
21 I don't see anybody. I don't see anybody here, but a notice was
22 sent out to the entire criminal defense bar.

23 MR. ROEBUCK: I'm here.

24 MR. GALMOR: Tom is here. So I think we can
25 move forward. No one else was nominated or no one nominated

1 themselves.

2 JUDGE WOODS: Okay. So since you being the
3 only nominee, I guess is there a motion to, I guess, vote and
4 approve Mr. Galmor as the liaison for the defense bar for the
5 Bail Bond Board? So I guess in all favor for Mr. Galmor being
6 the liaison from the defense bar say aye.

7 (RESPONSES MADE)

8 JUDGE WOODS: Any opposed? Hearing none, then
9 you are the representative.

10 MR. GALMOR: All right. Do I get paid now?

11 JUDGE WOODS: Yeah. We got some cake in the
12 back.

13 MR. REED: Judge, may I bring it up, the other
14 two motions, there was a motion and a second, we never voted on
15 them.

16 JUDGE WOODS: On which one? I'm sorry.

17 MR. REED: The license and the release of
18 property.

19 JUDGE WOODS: For Mr. Day?

20 MR. REED: Yes. And the license for Ms. Reed.

21 JUDGE WOODS: Okay. Let's go back to that.
22 That was agenda number six, back to Mr. Keith Day. Let's do
23 that properly then. Do I have a motion to release the CDs for
24 Mr. Keith Day.

25 JUDGE JONES: There was a motion already on the

1 floor.

2 MR. REED: Right, right.

3 JUDGE JONES: The motion was -- we had a motion
4 and it was seconded.

5 MR. REED: Yes, but it never was voted on.

6 JUDGE JONES: It needs voted on.

7 JUDGE WOODS: Okay. Okay. All right. All
8 those in favor of the board to approve the release of the CD for
9 Mr. Keith Day, do I hereby hear a vote as an aye for that?

10 (RESPONSES MADE)

11 JUDGE WOODS: Any opposed? None, hearing none,
12 then that will pass and release that CD to Mr. Day.

13 Okay. Moving on to number 10, discussion
14 of --

15 MR. REED: Well, we have one more motion and
16 that was -- a motion and a second but wasn't voted on about the
17 license.

18 MS. GARCIA: Number three on Stellina Reed.

19 MS. SEGURA: Number three, Judge.

20 JUDGE WOODS: Oh, I moved on past that, too.
21 Okay.

22 JUDGE JONES: No. We just didn't vote.

23 JUDGE WOODS: Oh, got you. All of those in
24 favor for the application approval for Mrs. -- what was the
25 name?

1 MS. SEGURA: Stellina Reed.

2 JUDGE WOODS: -- Stellina Reed, all those in
3 favor say aye?

4 (RESPONSES MADE).

5 JUDGE WOODS: Any opposed? Hearing none, that
6 will be approved. I'm new at this. Okay.

7 Now, number 10, discussion regarding Bondsman
8 Mr. Joe Segura who is present today regarding insurance on his
9 property. Now, I can say this: I previously spoke to
10 Mr. Segura on a prior day and he announced to me that he's
11 actually retiring from the bail bonds business.

12 Is that still true today, Mr. Segura?

13 MR. SEGURA: Yeah. I'm not writing any bonds.

14 JUDGE WOODS: Right. Exactly. And that's
15 what I mean. You still have outstanding bonds.

16 MR. SEGURA: I've got some outstanding bonds.

17 JUDGE WOODS: Right. But what you told me was
18 you're basically retiring, you're not writing any more bonds.

19 MR. SEGURA: I'm not writing any more bonds.

20 JUDGE WOODS: Well then, congratulations.

21 So knowing that you're not going to write any
22 more bonds because you are retiring, I guess that kind of fixes
23 the issue anyway.

24 MR. SEGURA: Do what now?

25 JUDGE WOODS: Well, it kind of takes care of

1 the matter anyway as far as regards to insurance. If you're not
2 going to write any more bonds --

3 MR. SEGURA: Correct.

4 JUDGE WOODS: -- then we can just -- I guess we
5 can what?

6 MR. SEGURA: You really don't have to do
7 anything because I'm not going to write any.

8 JUDGE WOODS: Do we just say that -- do we just
9 close him out then?

10 MS. GARCIA: We can. I mean, what's on is on.

11 JUDGE WOODS: Right. And just basically
12 closing him out and we just say he's not writing any more bonds.

13 MS. GARCIA: Correct.

14 JUDGE WOODS: Okay.

15 JUDGE JONES: Could we dispose of the matter
16 with a motion that this discussion is not necessary, it's over?

17 JUDGE WOODS: No, no. I'm fixing to go there,
18 too. I'm just trying to get a plan on where we were going with
19 it. So is there any more -- is there any discussion in that
20 regards to Mr. Segura that anybody wanted to talk about any
21 more?

22 JUDGE JONES: Mr. Segura, you're not writing
23 any more bonds?

24 MR. SEGURA: No.

25 JUDGE JONES: You want to pinky swear?

1 MR. SEGURA: Do what?

2 JUDGE JONES: I had to do that.

3 JUDGE WOODS: All right. Does anyone make a
4 motion to basically close out Mr. Segura?

5 JUDGE JONES: So moved.

6 MR. SMITH: Second.

7 JUDGE WOODS: All of those in favor to I guess
8 close out Mr. Segura, do I hear a vote of aye?

9 (RESPONSES MADE)

10 JUDGE WOODS: Any opposed? So that will pass.
11 Congratulations, Mr. Segura.

12 MR. SEGURA: Thank you. Now, I can go.

13 JUDGE WOODS: Number 11, requirements of having
14 flood insurance, I think, that's kind of the same -- is that
15 same or similar to the issue we were already discussing?

16 MS. GARCIA: Well, this was continued from the
17 previous month and the issue was whether or not flood insurance
18 is going to be required on collateral because it's not
19 previously mentioned anywhere else that it needs to be as a
20 requirement.

21 JUDGE JONES: We just said insurance? It's
22 just insurance?

23 MS. GARCIA: Well, flood is different from your
24 windstorm and your homeowners.

25 JUDGE JONES: Yeah, I understand that. But you

1 said insurance.

2 JUDGE WOODS: Specifically flood.

3 MS. GARCIA: Flood.

4 JUDGE WOODS: Not in general but specifically
5 flood insurance.

6 JUDGE JONES: For the past two times we need
7 it.

8 JUDGE WOODS: So as it stands right now, there
9 really is not a rule that requires to have specifically flood
10 insurance?

11 MS. GARCIA: No, sir.

12 JUDGE WOODS: Okay. And I guess is there any
13 discussion as to whether the board should alter or change its
14 rules?

15 Yes, Mr. Galmor.

16 MR. GALMOR: I mean, I think requiring flood
17 insurance -- to me it seems to be a good model would be what the
18 banks do. Banks require flood insurance if you're in a flood
19 zone and they don't require it if they're not. I think
20 requiring flood insurance on a property that's up on a hill in
21 Hillister would not make any sense. So I don't think it would
22 be a good idea to require flood insurance on just everything.

23 JUDGE JONES: Well, I'm not in a flood zone;
24 and I flooded twice.

25 MR. GALMOR: Well, that's true. That's true.

1 JUDGE JONES: I need help, too.

2 JUDGE WOODS: Any opinions or discussion as to
3 whether or not the board ought to include flood insurance I
4 guess specifically for flood zone areas and not make it a
5 requirement that it's just across the board.

6 MR. REED: I so move that if that's the motion.

7 JUDGE JONES: It makes sense if it's not in a
8 flood zone.

9 JUDGE WOODS: All right. Do I hear a motion to
10 approve a rule change that would state that the flood insurance
11 would only be required in properties that are in a flood zone
12 area?

13 MR. REED: I make that motion.

14 JUDGE WOODS: All right. Do I hear a second?

15 MR. SMITH: Second.

16 JUDGE WOODS: Thank you.

17 JUDGE JONES: Question.

18 JUDGE WOODS: Yes, sir.

19 JUDGE JONES: Galmor, I think he said that we
20 used the bank, the way the bank do things.

21 MR. GALMOR: That's my understanding how they
22 usually do it.

23 JUDGE JONES: And so we going to adopt what the
24 banks do?

25 JUDGE WOODS: Right.

1 JUDGE JONES: Okay. Well, that wasn't the
2 motion.

3 JUDGE WOODS: Well, I didn't say what the bank
4 would do, I just said only require properties that are in flood
5 zone areas to require them to have flood insurance and those
6 that are not, they are not required.

7 MR. HALLMARK: How do we determine that? How
8 do we determine --

9 MR. ROEBUCK: Judge.

10 JUDGE WOODS: Yes, sir.

11 MR. ROEBUCK: Seems to me -- I mean, we have --
12 we have flood designated areas A, B, C, X, all that kind of
13 stuff. And seems to me if we are -- the rule ought to say that
14 flood insurance is required for any property located in whatever
15 that particular flood zone is.

16 JUDGE WOODS: Okay.

17 MR. ROEBUCK: And as we sit here, I don't know
18 what they are but --

19 JUDGE WOODS: Let me clarify that motion then.
20 Let's say this. Let's make a motion to have the rules committee
21 come up with a rule that would specifically address that issue
22 and in a way that everybody can understand it and it's not
23 ambiguous.

24 So do I have a motion to I guess -- do we need
25 a motion to let the committee or should we just direct the

1 committee to do that and then once they come up with a rule,
2 then we can review it and approve it then. How does that sound?
3 Okay. Then I'll just ask the committee to come up with a rule
4 and then we will table that and then pass on it then.

5 JUDGE JONES: So we tabling number 12?

6 JUDGE WOODS: Number 12 -- now moving on.

7 Number 12, bondsmen concerned -- okay.

8 A bondsman was concerned about the defendants
9 showing up to court with a warrant and not being arrest. And I
10 think this is an issue that's come up in pretty much every court
11 and I can kind of give you an example what happens. I think a
12 bondsman, some bondsmen, will tell their client to, if they miss
13 their court date, they'll tell them just to show up to court.
14 And, in essence, they really don't have a court date on that
15 day, they have an actual warrant and then that person is
16 actually taken into custody.

17 Now, I can tell you from the standpoint where I
18 stand from in my court, the only -- that only becomes an issue
19 when it's a day or during a day that we are not actually in
20 court, we don't have already inmates that are brought over from
21 the jail. So, therefore, that kind of puts a burden more or
22 less on the sheriff's department more than anybody because, one,
23 they doesn't have a way to transport those people. So that
24 creates issues. I doesn't personally have a problem this taking
25 place as long as it's a day that I know there is already either

1 inmates already here and we already have a way of transporting
2 those people to the jail. I don't have a problem with it that
3 way. Now, actually that's -- I don't have a problem, but I'll
4 actually let the -- if the sheriff's department would like to
5 talk about that, if they -- how they feel about that because I
6 would rather them be happy. It's them not me, more than
7 anything so --

8 JUDGE JONES: You got it right.

9 JUDGE WOODS: And I know the sheriff is not
10 here right now, but if y'all wanted to talk to her about that.

11 CHIEF KELLY: We may want to talk to her about
12 that.

13 JUDGE WOODS: Yeah, and I don't blame you.

14 CHIEF KELLY: That's going to be some issues.

15 MS. SEGURA: Yeah, because sometimes some of
16 the defendants will come to the window at like 4:30 in the
17 afternoon and say, "Hey, the judge wants to talk to me."

18 And some of them, I mean, they are hip to
19 what's going on because sometimes when I get on the phone and
20 call somebody, they'll leave. Like, okay, well, I don't know.
21 So, yeah, they come up here pretty late sometimes and it's not
22 in court so I'm just saying. But I do know when I'm in court if
23 a person has a warrant, the courts I work in, they get arrested.
24 So I don't know who is having a problem with it but --

25 JUDGE JONES: If we need a transport, I can be

1 a transport. How much?

2 CHIEF KELLY: I don't think it's a problem
3 with transporting. I think it's documentation and being that
4 late in the evening, if we don't have the right documentation,
5 it causes problems.

6 MS. SEGURA: Well, yeah.

7 CHIEF KELLY: So, you know, I don't think the
8 transportation would be a factor. I just think the
9 documentation part would have to be up to par because we get a
10 lot of people come in to the jail and say, "I'm coming in to do
11 time for such and such," and, you know, we don't have the
12 documentation for --

13 JUDGE WOODS: Why they're there.

14 CHIEF KELLY: -- why they're there.

15 JUDGE WOODS: Yeah.

16 CHIEF KELLY: So that the problem. It's not
17 the transportation part. We will pick them up. I promise you
18 that.

19 MS. SEGURA: Sometimes when a person comes to
20 court, if the warrant is not in the computer and we can't print
21 it for the bailiff, the bailiff can't touch that person because
22 there is no warrant.

23 JUDGE JONES: They can if the judge tell them
24 to.

25 MS. SEGURA: Well, if they don't have a

1 warrant, they can't arrest them. Maybe you can but they don't.

2 JUDGE JONES: If the judge say take him, he
3 gone.

4 MS. SEGURA: I'm not doing this.

5 JUDGE JONES: It's the truth.

6 MS. SEGURA: Anyway. I'm just saying, the
7 warrant -- the paperwork have to follow, like he is saying,
8 proper documentation, he have to follow it so --

9 CHIEF KELLY: I mean, like I said, we are not
10 going to have a problem with transporting. We will come get
11 you. We will send some people to come get them. We just got to
12 make sure we got the right documentation. We don't want to
13 bring nobody to jail that ain't supposed to be in jail.

14 JUDGE WOODS: And I guess my other possibility
15 or fear would be is, say, we do take somebody into custody and
16 they sit in the back and nobody knows and they sit there all
17 weekend.

18 MS. SEGURA: It's happened.

19 JUDGE WOODS: It has happened.

20 CHIEF KELLY: We have to make sure that --

21 JUDGE WOODS: I don't want that to happen.

22 CHIEF KELLY: Paperwork in place, people in
23 place. But transportation ain't going to be a problem.

24 MS. SEGURA: Well --

25 MS. GARCIA: So do we want to table this for

1 next month's meeting to allow --

2 JUDGE WOODS: Yeah.

3 MS. GARCIA: -- all of those that are --

4 JUDGE WOODS: -- to kind of think about,
5 let's -- yeah, now that we have talked about it, let's think
6 about what a solution is to alleviate that or continue doing
7 that or what we need to do if we are going to continue that.

8 So I guess I would ask the bondsmen to think
9 about that and the sheriff's department think about that.

10 CHIEF KELLY: If they got a warrant, we are
11 going to come get you.

12 MS. SEGURA: Well, I mean, I think the person
13 that has the problem needs to say who they have a problem with
14 because I don't -- I mean --

15 JUDGE JONES: Are you saying the bondsmen?

16 MS. SEGURA: Well, yeah. I mean, they have the
17 problem. I'm just saying that in the courts I've worked in or
18 that I am working in now, if you have a warrant, the bailiffs
19 take you.

20 CHIEF KELLY: And they call us, we are going
21 to come get you.

22 MS. SEGURA: Well, I mean --

23 MS. GARCIA: Well, since Keith wasn't here --

24 JUDGE WOODS: And I appreciate that, I just
25 didn't want to make it a burden, if that is a burden to you guys

1 to have it at, you know what I mean, like at 4:00 o'clock on a
2 Friday, you know what I mean.

3 MS. SEGURA: It's happened.

4 JUDGE WOODS: Nobody is going to be up here in
5 custody pretty much on those days.

6 CHIEF KELLY: If they come in at 4:00 o'clock
7 on a Friday and they got somebody here that takes them --

8 MS. SEGURA: Oh, they take them.

9 CHIEF KELLY: We will come get them.

10 MS. SEGURA: They take them. They put them
11 back there.

12 CHIEF KELLY: We will come get them.

13 JUDGE WOODS: Okay. Then we will table that
14 for next month. Okay. Any other new business that we need to
15 talk about? None. Any more old business we need to talk about?
16 Okay.

17 Do I have a motion to adjourn?

18 MR. REED: I make a motion.

19 MR. HALLMARK: Second.

20 JUDGE WOODS: We are adjourned.

21 (MEETING CONCLUDED)

22

23

24

25